FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

APR 06 2015

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BANK OF MONTREAL,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FREDERICK SCOTT SALYER, as Trustee for the Scott Salyer Revocable Trust, and in his individual capacity,

Respondent - Appellant,

and

SK FOODS LLC,

Defendant.

No. 12-16983

D.Ct. No. 5:11-mc-80133-EJD

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Edward J. Davila, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted November 21, 2014 San Francisco, California

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Before: RAWLINSON and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges, and MARSHALL, Senior District Judge.**

Appellant Frederick Scott Salyer (Salyer) challenges the district court's denial of a request to transfer the action to bankruptcy court, denial of a stay, and amendment of a judgment to add Salyer and his trust as judgment debtors.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Salyer's request to transfer the action to bankruptcy court. The district court properly held that the first-to-file rule did not preclude amendment of the judgment because the consolidated bankruptcy action did not directly involve SK Foods, LLC. Given the difference in parties, it was not clear whether amending the judgment would affect the debtor, so the district court was justified in questioning the bankruptcy court's jurisdiction. *See Cedars-Sinai Med. Ctr. v. Shalala*, 125 F.3d 765, 769 (9th Cir. 1997) (declining to apply the first-to-file rule where the "issues [were] distinct, [and] the requirement of identity of the issues [was] not met").

The district court acted within its discretion in denying a stay premised on Salyer's parallel criminal proceedings because Salyer failed to demonstrate that his Fifth Amendment rights would be implicated by amendment of the judgment. *See Keating v. Office of Thrift Supervision*, 45 F.3d 322, 324 (9th Cir. 1995) ("In

^{**} The Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall, Senior District Judge for the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, sitting by designation.

the absence of substantial prejudice to the rights of the parties involved, simultaneous parallel civil and criminal proceedings are unobjectionable under our jurisprudence. . . .") (citation and alterations omitted).

The district court did not clearly err in amending the judgment to add Salyer and the Scott Salyer Revocable Trust as SK Foods, LLC's alter egos. The uncontested evidence amply demonstrated the "unity of interest and ownership" between SK Foods, LLC and Salyer required for an alter ego finding. Hasso v. Hapke, 227 Cal. App. 4th 107, 156 (2014), as modified. The record also reflects that Salver "had control of the litigation and occasion to conduct it with a diligence corresponding to the risk of personal liability that was involved." Katzir's Floor & Home Design, Inc. v. M-MLS.com, 394 F.3d 1143, 1150 (9th Cir. 2004) (citation omitted); see also Toho-Towa Co., Ltd. v. Morgan Creek Prods., Inc., 217 Cal. App. 4th 1096, 1110 (2013) (holding that the alter ego controlled the litigation because "[t]here was no showing below, and there is not the slightest suggestion in the briefs of appellants, that anyone, other than the alter ego, had control of the litigation. . . . ") (citation and alteration omitted).

AFFIRMED.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - ▶ A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- *See* Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case 5:11-mc-80133-EJD Document 93 Filed 04/06/15 Page 6 of 8

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in writing** within 10 days to:
 - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
 - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BILL OF COSTS

This form is available as a fillable version at: http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf.

Note: If you wish to fi service, within late bill of costs U.S.C. § 1920,	14 days of must be a	the date of ccompanied	entry of jud d by a motion	dgment, and in a on showing goo	accordance d cause. P	with 9th lease refer	Circuit Ru	le 39-1. A
		V.				9th	Cir. No.	
The Clerk is reques	sted to tax t	he followin	ng costs aga	ainst:				
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	REQUESTED (Each Column Must Be Completed)				ALLOWED (To Be Completed by the Clerk)			
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$

TOTAL: |\$|

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: \$

^{*} Costs per page: May not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

^{**} Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Case 5:11-mc-80133-EJD Document 93 Filed 04/06/15 Page 8 of 8 Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued

I, were actually and necessarily performed,	, swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.
Signature ("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted ele	ectronically)
Name of Counsel:	
Attorney for:	
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)	
Date	Costs are taxed in the amount of \$
	Clerk of Court By: , Deputy Clerk